

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 28TH MAY 2007 / 7TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 15923 of 2007(U)

PETITIONER:

**G.RAMESH, AGED 47 YEARS, S/O G.GOPINATHA MENON,
CHILD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT OFFICER,
INTEGRATED CHILD DEVELOPMENT SERVICE, PROJECT OFFICE,
THYCATTUSSERY, ALLEPPEY DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.K.RAMAKUMAR.

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE DIRECTOR OF SOCIAL WELFARE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. SMT.LEELA K.A., CHILD DEVELOPMENT
PROJECT OFFICER, I C D S, KANJIKUZH,
ALLEPPEY DISTRICT.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 28/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 15923 OF 2007-U

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, who is presently working as Child Development Project Officer, Thaikkattussery, has been transferred by Ext.P2 order, to Kanjikuzhi, on administrative convenience. According to the petitioner, he was posted at Thaikkattussery one year back and further he is undertaking certain important activities in the Block and therefore, his transfer will affect those schemes. So, the Block Panchayat has requested, as evident from Ext.P3 resolution, that he may not be transferred. This writ petition is filed challenging Ext.P2. According to the petitioner, there is no administrative convenience involved in his transfer.

2. I find that the present transfer is to a nearby place in the very same Taluk. So, no serious prejudice is caused to the petitioner. Further, the order itself spells out the reason for transfer as administrative convenience. Since the transfer is to a nearby place, I find no reason to interfere with Ext.P2 order. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. If

the petitioner has got a right of representation against Ext.P2, the dismissal of this writ petition will not affect the said right.

28th May, 2007.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Nm/